Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/800,580	INOUE, KENJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidate eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the		
	out prior to the data of filing a brist	f will not be entered be	.001100	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		cause	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying tl	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 Soo attached Notice of Non Co	ompliant Amondment (DTOL 324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
		Elizabeth M. Cole/ rimary Examiner, Art	Unit 1771	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since Legge teaches the equivalence of wool, polyamide (nylon), cotton and vinyl, an express suggestion to substitute is not necessary to render the substitution obvious. Even if Legg prefers nylon, it still teaches that the other fibers are also useful and therefore teaches their equivalence for this purpose.